arXiv:1704.01278v1 [math.AC] 5 Apr 2017

TOPICS ON 2-ALMOST GORENSTEIN RINGS

SHIRO GOTO AND NAOKI TANIGUCHI

Abstract. The notion of 2-almost Gorenstein ring is a generalization of the notion of almost Gorenstein ring in terms of Sally modules of canonical ideals. In this paper, we deal with two different topics related to 2-almost Gorenstein rings. The purposes are to determine all the Ulrich ideals in 2-almost Gorenstein rings and to clarify the structure of minimal free resolutions of 2-almost Gorenstein rings.

1. Introduction

The goal of the series of researches [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 18] is to find a new class of Cohen-Macaulay rings which is a natural generalization of Gorenstein rings in terms of homological algebra. Almost Gorenstein rings are one of the candidates for such a class of rings. Historically, the notion of almost Gorenstein ring in our sense originated from the work [1] of V. Barucci and R. Fröberg in 1997, where they dealt with the notion for one-dimensional analytically unramified local rings. In [6], the first author, N. Matsuoka, and T. T. Phuong extended the notion to one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings, using the first Hilbert coefficients of canonical ideals. Furthermore, T. D. M. Chau, the first author, S. Kumashiro, and N. Matsuoka recently defined the notion of 2-almost Gorenstein ring as a generalization of almost Gorenstein rings of dimension one.

To explain our motivation more precisely, let us review on the definition of 2-almost Gorenstein rings. Throughout this paper, let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim R = 1$, possessing the canonical module K_R. Let I be a canonical ideal of R, that is, I is an ideal of R, $I \neq R$, and $I \cong \mathrm{K}_R$ as an R-module. Assume that I contains a parameter ideal $Q = (a)$ of R as a reduction. We set $K = \frac{I}{a} = \{\frac{x}{a} \mid x \in I\}$ in the total ring $\mathrm{Q}(R)$ of fractions of R and let $S = R[K]$. Therefore, K is a fractional ideal of R such that $R \subseteq K \subseteq \overline{R}$ and S is a module-finite extension of R, where $\overline{R}$ stands for the integral closure of R in $\mathrm{Q}(R)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{c} = R : S$ the conductor. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(Q) = R[Qt]$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(I) = R[It]$ be the Rees algebras of Q and I, respectively, where t denotes an indeterminate over R. We set

$$\mathcal{S}_Q(I) = I\mathcal{R}/I\mathcal{T}$$

and call it the Sally module of I with respect to Q ([20]). Let $\mathrm{e}_i(I)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ be the i-th Hilbert coefficients of R with respect to I. We then have

$$\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{S}_Q(I) = \mathrm{e}_1(I) - [\mathrm{e}_0(I) - \ell_R(R/I)]$$

([7, Proposition 2.2 (3)]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13H10, 13H15, 13A30.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay ring, Gorenstein ring, almost Gorenstein ring, 2-almost Gorenstein ring, canonical ideal, Ulrich ideal.

The first author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16K05112. The second author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 17K14176.

With this notation, T. D. M. Chau, the first author, S. Kumashiro, and N. Matsuoka introduced the following. Note that the invariant rank $\mathcal{S}_Q(I)$ is independent of the choice of canonical ideals I and their minimal reductions Q ([3, Theorem 2.5]).

Definition 1.1. ([3, Definition 1.3]) We say that R is *a 2-almost Gorenstein ring*, if rank $\mathcal{S}_Q(I) = 2$, that is, $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \ell_R(R/I) + 2$.

It is known by [6] that R is a non-Gorenstein but almost Gorenstein ring if and only if rank $\mathcal{S}_Q(I) = 1$, or equivalently, $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \ell_R(R/I) + 1$. Therefore, the notion of 2-almost Gorenstein ring is one of the natural generalizations of almost Gorenstein rings.

In the present article, for the further study of 2-almost Gorenstein rings, we investigate two different topics related to 2-almost Gorenstein rings. The first one is to study the Ulrich ideals in 2-almost Gorenstein rings. Remember that the notion of Ulrich ideal/module dates back to the work [12] of the first author, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K.-i. Yoshida in 2014, where they introduced the notion as a generalization of maximally generated maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules ([2]) and started the basic theory. Typically, the maximal ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of minimal multiplicity is an Ulrich ideal and the higher syzygy modules of Ulrich ideals are Ulrich modules. In [12, 13], they determined all the Ulrich ideals of a Gorenstein local ring of finite CM-representation type and dimension at most 2, using the techniques in the representation theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. Moreover, in [16], the authors and R. Takahashi studied the structure of the complex $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, R)$ for an Ulrich ideal I in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R. They proved also that in a one-dimensional non-Gorenstein almost Gorenstein ring R, the only possible Ulrich ideal is the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R ([16, Theorem 2.14 (1)]). In Section 2 of the present article, we shall study the natural question of how many Ulrich ideals are contained in a given 2-almost Gorenstein ring. Our main result is stated as follows, where $\mathcal{X}_R$ denotes the set of Ulrich ideals of R. Note that the $R/\mathfrak{c}$-freeness of K/R plays an important role for the analysis of 2-almost Gorenstein rings (see [3, Section 5]).

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring of minimal multiplicity. Then the following assertions hold true.*

(1) *If K/R is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module, then $\mathcal{X}_R = \{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{m}\}$.*
(2) *If K/R is not a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module, then $\mathcal{X}_R = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.*

The other topic in this article is to give the necessary and sufficient condition for R to be a 2-almost Gorenstein ring in terms of their minimal free resolutions. Our results Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4 have been strongly inspired by the previous work [15, Theorem 7.8] of the authors and R. Takahashi.

In what follows, unless otherwise specified, let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. For each finitely generated R-module M, let $\mu_R(M)$ (resp. $\ell_R(M)$) denote the number of elements in a minimal system of generators of M (resp. the length of M). We denote by K_R the canonical module of R.

2. Ulrich ideals in 2-almost Gorenstein rings

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim R = 1$, possessing the canonical module K_R. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R and assume that I contains a parameter ideal $Q = (a)$ of R as a reduction.

Definition 2.1. ([12, Definition 1.1]) We say that I is *an Ulrich ideal of* R, if

(1) $I \supsetneq Q$, $I^2 = QI$, and
(2) I/I^2 is a free R/I-module.

Note that in Definition 2.1, Condition (1) is equivalent to saying that the associated graded ring $\mathrm{gr}_I(R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{gr}_I(R)) = 0$, where $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{gr}_I(R))$ denotes the a-invariant of $\mathrm{gr}_I(R)$. Therefore, Condition (1) of Definition 2.1 is independent of the choice of minimal reductions Q of I. When $I = \mathfrak{m}$, Condition (2) is automatically satisfied and Condition (1) is equivalent to saying that R has minimal multiplicity which is not a regular local ring. Suppose that $I^2 = QI$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to Q/QI \to I/I^2 \to I/Q \to 0$$

of R-modules. We then have that I/I^2 is a free R/I-module if and only if so is I/Q. When $\mu_R(I) = 2$, the latter condition is equivalent to saying that $Q : I = I$, namely, I is a good ideal in the sense of [5] (see also [12, Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.6]).

Let us begin with the following. See ([12, Example 7.3]) for the proof.

Example 2.2 ([12, Example 7.3])**.** Suppose that I is an Ulrich ideal of R such that $\mu_R(I) = 2$. Let us write $I = (a, b)$ with $b \in R$. Then $b^2 = ac$ for some $c \in I$, because $I^2 = QI$. With this notation, the minimal free resolution of I is given by

$$\mathbb{F}: \quad \cdots \to R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & -c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & -c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} I \to 0,$$

whence $\mathrm{pd}_R I = \infty$. By [16, Theorem 2.8], I is a totally reflexive R-module, that is, I is reflexive, $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I, R) = (0)$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I^*, R) = (0)$ for every $p > 0$, where $(-)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ denotes the R-dual functor.

The purpose of this section is to explore the question of how many Ulrich ideals are contained in a given 2-almost Gorenstein rings. To do this, let K be a fractional ideal of R such that $R \subseteq K \subseteq \overline{R}$ and $K \cong \mathrm{K}_R$ as an R-module, where $\overline{R}$ denotes the integral closure of R in $\mathrm{Q}(R)$. We set $S = R[K]$ and $\mathfrak{c} = R : S$. Then $\mathfrak{c} = K : S$ ([6, Lemma 3.5 (2)]). It is proved by [3, Theorem 1.4] that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring if and only if $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 2$. Therefore, if R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring, there exists a minimal system $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ of generators of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = (x_1^2) + (x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$, where $n = \mu_R(\mathfrak{m})$ is the embedding dimension of R. Let $\mathrm{r}(R) = \ell_R(\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R))$ denote the Cohen-Macaulay type of R.

With this notation we have the following, which is the key in our argument.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring. Let I be an Ulrich ideal of R such that $\mu_R(I) = 2$. Then the following assertions hold true.*

(1) *K/R is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module.*
(2) *$\mathfrak{c} = (x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$.*

(3) $I + \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Hence, $\mu_R(\mathfrak{c}) = n - 1$ *and* $x_1^2 \in (x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$.

Proof. We have $K/R \cong (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus \ell} \oplus (R/\mathfrak{m})^{\oplus m}$ as an $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module for some $\ell > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ such that $\ell + m = \mathrm{r}(R) + 1$ ([3, Proposition 3.3 (4)]). Let us assume that $m > 0$. Then, since I is totally reflexive (see Example 2.2) and $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I, K) = (0)$ for every $p > 0$, we get $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I, K/R) = (0)$, whence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I, R/\mathfrak{m}) = (0)$$

for all $p > 0$, because $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a direct summand of K/R. This is impossible, since I is not a free R-module. Hence $m = 0$ and Assertion (1) follows.

Let us maintain the same notation (see Example 2.2). We then have a minimal free resolution

$$\mathbb{F}: \quad \cdots \to R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & -c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & -c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} I \to 0$$

of I. Let $\overline{x}$ denote, for each $x \in R$, the image of x in $R/\mathfrak{c}$. Then, by taking the $R/\mathfrak{c}$-dual of the resolution $\mathbb{F}$, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(I, R/\mathfrak{c}) \to (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\overline{b} & \overline{a} \\ -\overline{c} & \overline{b} \end{pmatrix}} (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\overline{b} & \overline{a} \\ -\overline{c} & \overline{b} \end{pmatrix}} (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus 2} \to \cdots$$

of R-modules, because $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(I, R/\mathfrak{c}) = (0)$ for all $p > 0$ (remember that $R/\mathfrak{c}$ is a direct summand of K/R). Hence $I \nsubseteq \mathfrak{c}$. Therefore, $I + \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{m}$, since $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 2$. This proves Assertion (3).

To see Assertion (2), note that $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{c} = (\overline{x_1}) = (\overline{a}, \overline{b})$ and $\ell_R(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{c}) = 1$. We set $J = (x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$.

Case 1 ($\overline{a} \neq 0$)**.** We write $a = \alpha x_1 + \xi$ for some $\alpha \in R$ and $\xi \in J$. We may assume $\alpha = 1$ and $b \in J$, because $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and $\overline{b} \in \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{c} = (\overline{a})$. Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\overline{b} & \overline{a} \\ -\overline{c} & \overline{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{x_1} \\ -\overline{c} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $\overline{c} \neq 0$. Therefore, writing $c = \gamma x_1 + \rho$ with $\gamma \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and $\rho \in J$, we get

$$\gamma x_1^2 \equiv ac = b^2 \equiv 0 \mod J,$$

whence $x_1^2 \in J$ and $\mathfrak{c} = J$.

Case 2 ($\overline{a} = 0$)**.** We have $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{c} = (\overline{b})$. We may assume $b = x_1 + \xi$ for some $\xi \in J$. Let $c = \alpha x_1 + \eta$ with $\alpha \in R$ and $\eta \in J$. Therefore, as $b^2 = ac$, we get

$$x_1^2 \equiv \beta x_1^2 \cdot \alpha x_1 \mod J$$

for some $\beta \in R$, where $a = \beta x_1^2 + \rho$ with $\beta \in R$ and $\rho \in J$. Hence $x_1^2 \in J$ and $\mathfrak{c} = J$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring and S is a Gorenstein ring. If I is an Ulrich ideal of R with $\mu_R(I) = 2$, then $\mu_R(\mathfrak{c}) = n-1$ and R doesn't have minimal multiplicity.*

Proof. We have $\mathfrak{c} = K : S$. Hence $\mathfrak{c} \cong S$ as an S-module, because S is a Gorenstein ring. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3 and [3, Proposition 3.3 (5)], $n-1 = \mu_R(\mathfrak{c}) = \mu_R(S) = \mathrm{r}(R)+1$. If R has minimal multiplicity, then $\mathrm{r}(R) = n-1$, whence

$$n-1 = \mu_R(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{r}(R)+1 = n,$$

which is a contradiction. □

The following Example 2.5 ensures the existence of Ulrich ideals which are generated by two-elements.

Example 2.5. Let $A = R \ltimes R$ denote the idealization of R over R. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an arbitrary parameter ideal of R and set $I = \mathfrak{q} \times R$. Then by [12, Example 2.2], I is an Ulrich ideal of A and $\mu_A(I) = 2$. Note that if R is a non-Gorenstein almost Gorenstein local ring, then A is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring ([3, Theorem 3.9]).

The $R/\mathfrak{c}$-freeness of K/R strongly influences the behavior of 2-almost Gorenstein rings (see [3, Section 5]). However, even if K/R is not $R/\mathfrak{c}$-free, 2-almost Gorenstein rings still behave well. For example, we have the following, which shows that every 2-almost Gorenstein ring R is G-regular in the sense of [19], namely, every totally reflexive R-module is free, provided K/R is not $R/\mathfrak{c}$-free.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring and K/R is not a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module. Let M be a finitely generated R-module such that $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(M,R) = (0)$ for all $p \gg 0$. Then $\mathrm{pd}_R M \leq 1$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to R^{\oplus s} \to M \to 0$$

of R-modules, where $s = \mu_R(M)$. Then, for every $p \geq 2$, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{p-1}_R(L,R) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^p_R(M,R).$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(L, K/R) = (0)$ for all $p \gg 0$, because $\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(L,K) = (0)$ if $p \geq 1$, whence for all $p \gg 0$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_R(L, R/\mathfrak{m}) = (0),$$

because $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a direct summand of K/R. Thus $\mathrm{pd}_R L < \infty$, so that $\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$. □

Let us note the following, which answers the question of when the conductor $\mathfrak{c} = R : S$ is an Ulrich ideal of R.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is an Ulrich ideal of R if and only if S is a Gorenstein ring and K/R is $R/\mathfrak{c}$-free.*

Proof. Note that S is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $\mathfrak{c}^2 = f\mathfrak{c}$ for some $f \in \mathfrak{c}$ ([6, Corollary 3.8]). When this is the case, we have $\mathfrak{c} = fS$, since the ideal $\mathfrak{c} = K : S$ of S is invertible. Therefore, to prove the assertion, we may assume that $\mathfrak{c} = fS$ for some $f \in \mathfrak{c}$. Then $\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{c}^2 \cong S/fS = S/\mathfrak{c}$, so that $\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{c}^2$ is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module if and only if so is $S/\mathfrak{c}$. The latter condition is equivalent to saying that K/R is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module, because of the exact sequence

$$0 \to R/\mathfrak{c} \to S/\mathfrak{c} \to S/R \to 0$$

of R-modules and the direct sum decomposition

$$S/R \cong K/R \oplus R/\mathfrak{c}$$

of S/R ([3, Proposition 3.3]). □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since R has minimal multiplicity, $\mathfrak{m}$ is an Ulrich ideal of R, whence $\mathcal{X}_R \neq \emptyset$.

(1) Suppose that K/R is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module. By [3, Proposition 5.7 (1)], we have that $\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m}$ is a local ring. Because the 2-almost Gorenstein ring R has minimal multiplicity, S is a Gorenstein ring by [3, Corollary 5.3]. Therefore, the conductor $\mathfrak{c}$ is an Ulrich ideal of R by Proposition 2.7, so that $\mathcal{X}_R \supseteq \{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{m}\}$. Let I be an Ulrich ideal of R. Then $\mu_R(I) \geq 3$ by Corollary 2.4. Therefore, $\mathfrak{c} = (0) :_R K/R \subseteq I$ by [16, Corollary 2.13]. Hence either $I = \mathfrak{c}$ or $I = \mathfrak{m}$, because $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 2$.

(2) Let I be an Ulrich ideal of R. Then $\mu_R(I) \geq 3$ by Proposition 2.3, since K/R is not $R/\mathfrak{c}$-free. Therefore, by the proof of Assertion (1), either $I = \mathfrak{c}$ or $I = \mathfrak{m}$, so that $I = \mathfrak{m}$, because $\mathfrak{c}$ is not an Ulrich ideal of R by Proposition 2.7. □

Let us note a few examples.

Example 2.8. Let $V = k[[t]]$ denote the formal power series ring over a field k and set $R_1 = k[[t^3, t^7, t^8]]$ and $R_2 = k[[t^4, t^9, t^{11}, t^{14}]]$. Let K_i be a fractional ideal of R_i such that $R_i \subseteq K_i \subseteq \overline{R_i}$ and $K_i \cong \mathrm{K}_{R_i}$ as an R_i-module. Then R_1 and R_2 are 2-almost Gorenstein rings such that K_1/R_1 is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}_1$-module but K_2/R_2 is not $R/\mathfrak{c}_2$-free, where $\mathfrak{c}_i = R_i : R_i[K_i]$. Hence $\mathcal{X}_{R_1} = \{\mathfrak{c}_1, (t^3, t^7, t^8)\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{R_2} = \{(t^4, t^9, t^{11}, t^{14})\}$.

Example 2.9. Let $V = k[[t]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field k and set $R = k[[t^6, t^8, t^{10}, t^{11}]]$. Then R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring with $\mathrm{r}(R) = 2$ and $\mathfrak{c} = (t^6, t^8, t^{10})$. For this ring, $(t^6, t^{11}), (t^8, t^{11})$, and $\mathfrak{c}$ are those Ulrich ideals generated by monomials in t.

3. The structure of a minimal free resolution of 2-almost Gorenstein rings

In this section we study the structure of minimal free resolutions of 2-almost Gorenstein rings. For the rest of this article, we fix the following notation.

Setting 3.1. Let $(T, \mathfrak{n})$ be a regular local ring with $\dim T = n$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of T such that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$. We set $R = T/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{a}$. Suppose that R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension one. Let K be an R-submodule of $\mathrm{Q}(R)$. We assume that $R \subseteq K \subseteq \overline{R}$ and $K \cong \mathrm{K}_R$ as an R-module.

First, suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring and choose a minimal system $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ of generators of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = (x_1^2)+(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$ (this choice is possible; see [3, Proposition 3.3]). Let $X_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ such that $x_i = \overline{X_i}$ in R, where $\overline{X_i}$ denotes the image of X_i in R. Hence $\mathfrak{n} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$. We set $J = (X_1^2) + (X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n)$; hence $\mathfrak{c} = JR$. Then, because $\ell_T(T/J) = \ell_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 2$, we have $T/J \cong R/\mathfrak{c}$, whence $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq J$.

Remember that $K/R \cong (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus \ell} \oplus (R/\mathfrak{m})^{\oplus m}$ as an R-module for some integers $\ell > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ such that $\ell + m = \mathrm{r}(R) - 1$ ([3, Proposition 3.3]). Let us write

$$K = R + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Rf_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} Rg_j$$

with $f_i, g_j \in K$ so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (R/\mathfrak{c}) \cdot \overline{f_i} \cong (R/\mathfrak{c})^{\oplus \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} (R/\mathfrak{c}) \cdot \overline{g_j} \cong (R/\mathfrak{m})^{\oplus m},$$

where $f_i, g_j \in K$ and $\overline{f_i}, \overline{g_j}$ denotes their images in K/R.

With this notation the first main result of this section is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring. Then the T-module K has a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$\cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}} F_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} K \to 0,$$

where

$$\mathbb{N} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & f_1 f_2 \cdots f_\ell & g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \textit{and}$$

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{\ell 1}a_{\ell 2}\cdots a_{\ell n} & b_{11}b_{12}\cdots b_{1n} & \cdots & b_{m1}b_{m2}\cdots b_{mn} & c_1c_2\cdots c_q \\ X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $a_{ij} \in J$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $b_{ij} \in J$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $2 \leq j \leq n$.

We furthermore have

$$\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{I}_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ X_1^2 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{I}_2 \begin{pmatrix} b_{i1} & b_{i2} & \cdots & b_{in} \\ X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \end{pmatrix} + (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_q),$$

where $\mathrm{I}_2(\mathbb{L})$ denotes, for each $2 \times n$ matrix $\mathbb{L}$ with entries in T, the ideal of T generated by 2×2 minors of $\mathbb{L}$.

Proof. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}} F_0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (\sharp)$$

be a minimal T-free resolution of K, where $F_0 = T \oplus T^{\oplus \ell} \oplus T^{\oplus m}$. Since $K/R \cong (T/J)^{\oplus \ell} \oplus (T/\mathfrak{n})^{\oplus m}$, K/R has a minimal T-free resolution of the form

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_1 = T^{\oplus \ell n} \oplus T^{\oplus \ell m} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} G_0 = T^{\oplus \ell} \oplus T^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow K/R \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the following presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}'} G_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}'} K/R \longrightarrow 0$$

of K/R induced from the above resolution ($\sharp$) of K, where $s = \operatorname{rank}_T F_1$, $\mathbb{N}' = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\cdots\bar{f}_\ell & \bar{g_1}\bar{g_2}\cdots\bar{g_m} \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbb{A}'$ is an $(\ell+m)\times s$ matrix obtained from $\mathbb{A}$ by deleting the first row. We then get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & K/R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \\ F_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}'} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & K/R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & K/R & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of T-modules such that $\eta \circ \xi$ is an isomorphism. Hence

$$\mathbb{A}'\, Q = [\ \mathbb{B} \mid O\ ]$$

for some $s \times s$ invertible matrix Q with entries in T, where O denotes the null matrix. Therefore, setting $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{A}Q$, we get

$$\mathbb{M} = \left(\begin{array}{c} * \\ \hline \mathbb{A}' \end{array}\right) \cdot Q \ = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} * & * \\ \hline \mathbb{B} & O \end{array}\right).$$

Let us show that $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in J$. We set $Z_1 = X_1^2$ and $Z_i = X_i$ for each $2 \le i \le n$. Then

$$a_{ij} \cdot (-1) + Z_j \cdot f_i = 0$$

for every $1 \le i \le \ell$ and $1 \le j \le m$, whence $a_{ij} \in J$, because $\mathfrak{c}K \subseteq \mathfrak{c}S = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{c} = J/\mathfrak{a}$. Since

$$b_{ij} \cdot (-1) + Z_j \cdot g_i = 0,$$

we get $b_{ij} \in J$, provided $j \ge 2$. The last assertion follows from the fact that $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n$ is a T-regular sequence; see [15, Proof of Theorem 7.8] for detail. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 we have the following.

Corollary 3.3. *With the notation as in Theorem* 3.2*, we have the following.*

(1) *If $n = 3$, then $r = 2$, $q = 0$, $\ell = 1$, and $m = 0$, so that $\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ X_1^2 & X_2 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}$.*
(2) *If R has minimal multiplicity, then $q = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $n = 3$. Then R has a minimal T-free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{{}^t\mathbb{M}} F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the matrix $\mathbb{M}$ has the form stated in Theorem 3.2. Therefore

$$\mathrm{r}(R) + 1 = \mathrm{rank}_T F_1 = \ell n + mn + q = 3(\mathrm{r}(R) - 1) + q,$$

whence $4 - 2 \cdot \mathrm{r}(R) = q \geq 0$. Thus $\mathrm{r}(R) = 2$ and $q = 0$. We get $\ell = 1$, $m = 0$, because $\ell + m = \mathrm{r}(R) - 1$.

(2) Suppose that R has minimal multiplicity. Then $\mathrm{r}(R) = n - 1$. By [17, Theorem 1 (iii)] we have $\ell n + mn + q = (\mathrm{r}(R) - 1)(\mathrm{r}(R) + 1)$. Therefore, $\ell + m = \mathrm{r}(R) - 1$, so that $q = 0$. □

Let us give a sufficient condition for $R = T/\mathfrak{a}$ to be a 2-almost Gorenstein ring in terms of its minimal free resolution. With the same notation as Setting 3.1, let us assume that R is not a Gorenstein ring and that

$$K = R + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Rf_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} Rg_j$$

with $f_i, g_j \in K$, where $\ell > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ such that $\ell + m = \mathrm{r}(R) - 1$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that there is a regular system $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ of parameters of T and that K has a minimal T-free resolution of the form*

$$\cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}} F_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} K \to 0 \qquad (\sharp)$$

where $\mathbb{N} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & f_1 f_2 \cdots f_\ell & g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m \end{pmatrix}$ *and*

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{\ell 1}a_{\ell 2}\cdots a_{\ell n} & b_{11}b_{12}\cdots b_{1n} & \cdots & b_{m1}b_{m2}\cdots b_{mn} & c_1c_2\cdots c_q \\ X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $q \geq 0$, $a_{ij} \in (X_1^2) + (X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $b_{ij} \in (X_1^2) + (X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $2 \leq j \leq n$. Then R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring.

Proof. The above resolution $(\sharp)$ induces a presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} G_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}} K/R \longrightarrow 0$$

of K/R, where $\mathbb{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\cdots\bar{f}_\ell & \bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2\cdots\bar{g}_m \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & X_1^2X_2\cdots X_n & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1X_2\cdots X_n \end{pmatrix}$$

(here $\overline{f_i}$ and $\overline{g_j}$ denote, respectively, the images of f_i and g_j in K/R). Hence

$$K/R \cong (T/J)^{\oplus \ell} \oplus (T/\mathfrak{n})^{\oplus m}$$

as a T-module, so that $\mathfrak{n}\cdot(K/R) \neq (0)$, because $\ell > 0$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{c} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$. We set $J = (X_1^2) + (X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n)$ and $I = JR$. Note that $X_1^2\cdot f_i = \overline{a_{i1}}$ and $X_k\cdot f_i = \overline{a_{ik}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$, because $a_{ik} \in J$, where $\overline{a}$ denotes, for each $a \in T$, the image of a in R. We similarly have $X_k\cdot g_j \in I$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, because $b_{jk} \in J$. Thus $IK \subseteq I$. Consequently, $IS \subseteq I$, since $S = K^q$ for all $q \gg 0$. Hence $I \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$, so that $I = \mathfrak{c}$, because $\ell_R(R/I) \leq 2$. Therefore, $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 2$, and hence by [3, Theorem 1.4], R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring. □

In general, we cannot expect that $q = 0$ in Theorem 3.2. Let us give one example.

Example 3.5. Let $V = k[[t]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field k and set $R = k[[t^5, t^7, t^9, t^{13}]]$. Then R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring with $\mathrm{r}(R) = 2$, $\mathfrak{c} = (t^{10}, t^7, t^9, t^{13})$, and $K = R + Rt^3$ ([3, Example 5.5]). Let $T = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$ be the formal power series ring and let $\varphi : T \to R$ be the k-algebra map defined by $\varphi(X) = t^5, \varphi(Y) = t^7, \varphi(Z) = t^9$, and $\varphi(W) = t^{13}$. Then R has a minimal T-free resolution of the form

$$0 \to T^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}} T^6 \to T^5 \to T \to R \to 0$$

where

$${}^t\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} W & X^2 & XY & YZ & Y^2 - XZ & Z^2 - XW \\ X^2 & Y & Z & W & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Ker}\varphi = \mathrm{I}_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W & X^2 & XY & YZ \\ X^2 & Y & Z & W \end{smallmatrix} \right) + (Y^2 - XZ, Z^2 - XW)$$

and K/R is a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module.

We note one more example.

Example 3.6. Let $V = k[[t]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field k and set $R = k[[t^4, t^9, t^{11}, t^{14}]]$. Then R is a 2-almost Gorenstein ring with $\mathrm{r}(R) = 3$, $\mathfrak{c} = (t^8, t^9, t^{11}, t^{14})$, and $K = R + Rt^3 + Rt^5$ ([3, Example 5.6])). We consider the k-algebra map $\varphi : T \to R$ defined by $\varphi(X) = t^4, \varphi(Y) = t^9, \varphi(Z) = t^{11}$, and $\varphi(W) = t^{14}$, where $T = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$ denotes the formal power series ring. Then R has a minimal T-free resolution

$$0 \to T^3 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}} T^8 \to T^6 \to T \to R \to 0$$

where

$$ {}^t\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -Z & -X^3 & -W & -X^2Y & Y & W & X^4 & X^2Z \\ X^2 & Y & Z & W & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X & Y & Z & W \end{pmatrix}. $$

We have

$$ \operatorname{Ker} \varphi = \mathrm{I}_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -Z & -X^3 & -W & -X^2Y \\ X^2 & Y & Z & W \end{smallmatrix} \right) + \mathrm{I}_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} Y & W & X^4 & X^2Z \\ X & Y & Z & W \end{smallmatrix} \right) $$

and K/R is not a free $R/\mathfrak{c}$-module.

References

[1] V. Barucci and R. Fröberg, One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings, *J. Algebra*, **188** (1997), no. 2, 418–442.

[2] J. P. Brennan, J. Herzog, and B. Ulrich, Maximally generated Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Math. Scand.*, **61** (1987), no. 2, 181–203.

[3] T. D. M. Chau, S. Goto, S. Kumashiro, and N. Matsuoka, Sally modules of canonical ideals in dimension one and 2-AGL rings, Preprint 2017.

[4] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, and W. V. Vasconcelos, Invariants of Cohen-Macaulay rings associated to their canonical ideals, Preprint 2016.

[5] S. Goto, S.-i. Iai, and K.-i. Watanabe, Good ideals in Gorenstein local rings, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2000), 2309–2346.

[6] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, and T. T. Phuong, Almost Gorenstein rings, *J. Algebra*, **379** (2013), 355-381.

[7] S. Goto, K. Nishida, and K. Ozeki, Sally modules of rank one, *Michigan Math. J.*, **57** (2008), 359–381.

[8] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, N. Taniguchi, and K.-i. Yoshida, The almost Gorenstein Rees algebras of parameters, *J. Algebra*, **452** (2016), 263–278.

[9] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, N. Taniguchi, and K.-i. Yoshida, The almost Gorenstein Rees algebras over two-dimensional regular local rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **220** (2016), 3425–3436.

[10] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, N. Taniguchi, and K.-i. Yoshida, On the almost Gorenstein property in Rees algebras of contracted ideals, Preprint 2016.

[11] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, N. Taniguchi, and K.-i. Yoshida, The almost Gorenstein Rees algebras of p_g-ideals, good ideals, and powers of the maximal ideals, Preprint 2016.

[12] S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K.-i. Yoshida, Ulrich ideals and modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **156** (2014), no.1, 137–166.

[13] S. Goto, K. Ozeki, R. Takahashi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K.-i. Yoshida, Ulrich ideals and modules over two-dimensional rational singularities, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **221** (2016), no.1, 69–110.

[14] S. Goto, M. Rahimi, N. Taniguchi, and H. L. Truong, When are the Rees algebras of parameter ideals almost Gorenstein graded rings?, *Kyoto J. Math.* (to appear).

[15] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, Almost Gorenstein rings -towards a theory of higher dimension, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **219** (2015), 2666–2712.

[16] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, Ulrich ideals and almost Gorenstein rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **144** (2016), 2811–2823.

[17] J. Sally, Cohen-Macaulay local rings of maximal embedding dimension, *J. Algebra*, **56** (1979) 168–183.

[18] N. Taniguchi, On the almost Gorenstein property of determinantal rings, Preprint 2017.

[19] R. Takahashi, On G-regular local rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **36** (2008), no. 12, 4472–4491.

[20] W. V. Vasconcelos, Hilbert functions, analytic spread, and Koszul homology, Commutative algebra: Syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, 1992), *Contemp. Math.*, **159**, 410–422, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1994.

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, 1-1-1 Higashi-mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan
E-mail address: shirogoto@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, 1-1-1 Higashi-mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan
E-mail address: taniguchi@meiji.ac.jp
URL: http://www.isc.meiji.ac.jp/~taniguchi/